

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JANE ROE, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO,
Defendant.

Case No. 24-cv-01562-JST

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings ¹	May 30, 2025
Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion due	August 25, 2025
Defendants' preliminary injunction opposition due	September 22, 2025
Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction reply due	October 6, 2025
Preliminary Injunction hearing	October 27-30, 2025 8:30 a.m. – 1:30 p.m.
Fact discovery cut-off	January 5, 2026
Expert disclosures	January 19, 2026

¹ After this deadline, a party may still seek amendment, but must demonstrate good cause. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). 30

Event	Deadline
Expert rebuttal	February 14, 2026
Expert discovery cut-off	March 2, 2026
Dispositive motion hearing deadline	May 7, 2026
Pretrial conference statement due	July 10, 2026
Pretrial conference	July 17, 2026 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	August 10, 2026 at 8:00 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Ten

This case will be tried to the Court.

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at <https://cand.uscourts.gov/judges/tigar-jon-s-jst/>.

The Court has set a dispositive motion deadline which allows enough time for the Court to consider any such motions well in advance of trial. The parties should assume that any subsequent continuance of the dispositive motion deadline, or any enlargement of the dispositive motion briefing schedule beyond that set forth in Civil Local Rule 7-3, will result in a continuance of the pretrial conference and trial dates of equal or greater length.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant

///

///

///

a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 27, 2025



JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California